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1. Introduction

The variety of life forms on earth, including genes, species and ecosystems, is known as biological diversity or biodiversity. Loss of biodiversity results in serious reductions in the goods (such as food, medicine and raw materials) and services (such as clean water and nutrient cycling) that the earth’s ecosystems can provide and that make human survival and economic prosperity possible (Anonymous, 2002).

The direct economic benefits of biodiversity run into trillions of dollars per year (Costanza et al. 1997). Some of the significant benefits include: an annual market value of crop production in the United States to tunes of about US$40 billion which is completely dependent on insect pollinators; biological pest control that saves an annual revenue of US$100-200 billion; and, biological nitrogen fixation has an estimated annual worth of US$50 billion. While recognition of the values of the goods and services that biodiversity offers – both direct and indirect – is increasing, the relationship between the role of biodiversity in environmental sustainability, poverty reduction, and sustainable development needs further attention and understanding.

The adoption of the Millennium Declaration in September 2000 by the UN General Assembly paves a significant way to address issues of poverty eradication and sustainable development through a set of targets and dates. The Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) detail such targets (Box 1). Achieving these targets is the responsibility of national governments. One of the significant features of MDGs is that they seem to focus on developmental issues, leaving options of how to implement actions to achieve the goals open for interpretation. Goal 7 of the MDGs focuses on ensuring environmental sustainability without any explicit mention of the role of biodiversity and natural resources. However, everyone recognise the role of biodiversity in ensuring successful achievement of targets set through MDGs for sustainable development. Attempts are being made to mainstream biodiversity into
not only MDG 7 but also across other MDGs whose achievement directly or indirectly impinge on the status and use of biodiversity.

Box 1 – The Millennium Development Goals and Targets

**Goal 1 - Eradicate Extreme Poverty and Hunger**
Targets: Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people whose income is less that $1 a day; Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people who suffer from hunger.

**Goal 2 - Achieve Universal Primary Education**
Target: Ensure that, by 2015, children everywhere, boys and girls alike, will be able to complete a full course of primary schooling.

**Goal 3 - Promote Gender Equality and Empower Women**
Target: Eliminate gender disparity in primary and secondary education preferably by 2005 and in all levels of education no later than 2015.

**Goal 4 - Reduce Child Mortality**
Target: Reduce, by two-thirds, between 1990 and 2015, the under-five mortality rate.

**Goal 5 - Improve Maternal Health**
Target: Reduce by three-quarters, between 1990 and 2015, the maternal mortality ratio.

**Goal 6 - Combat HIV/AIDS, Malaria and other Diseases**
Targets: Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the spread of HIV/AIDS; Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the incidence of malaria and other major diseases.

**Goal 7 - Ensure Environmental Sustainability**
Targets: Integrate the principles of sustainable development into country policies and programs and reverse the loss of environmental resources; Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water; Have achieved, by 2020, a significant improvement in the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers.

**Goal 8 - Develop a Global Partnership for Development**
Targets: Develop further an open, rule-based, predictable, nondiscriminatory trading and financial system (includes a commitment to good governance, development and poverty reduction - both nationally and internationally); Address the special needs of the least developed countries (including tariff- and quota-free access for exports, enhanced program of debt relief for and cancellation of official bilateral debt, and more generous ODA for countries committed to poverty reduction; Address the special needs of landlocked countries and small island developing states (through the Program of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States and 22nd General Assembly provisions; Deal comprehensively with the debt problems of developing countries through national and international measures in order to make debt sustainable in the long term; In cooperation with developing countries, develop and implement strategies for decent and productive work for youth; In cooperation with pharmaceutical companies, provide access to affordable essential drugs in developing countries; In cooperation with the private sector, make available the benefits of new technologies, especially information and communications technologies.
In September 2002 - thirty years after the Stockholm Summit and ten years after the Earth Summit - world leaders, scientists, policy makers and communities met in Johannesburg to assess the achievements towards attaining sustainable development through interventions including sound environmental management. The World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) provided the needed platform to fine tune issues, identify newer partnerships and suggest guiding principles to achieve sustainable development.

A significant element of the outcomes of WSSD is the framework of action suggested under the WEHAB initiative. This initiative, under the guidance of the UN General Assembly, focuses on issues of Water, Energy, Health, Agriculture and Biodiversity. The critical role of biodiversity and sustainable ecosystem management in WEHAB priority areas is exemplified through Figure 1. Under this, biodiversity is considered as the “life insurance policy for life itself” (Anonymous, 2002).

Figure 1 – Examples of the Critical Role of Biodiversity and Sustainable Ecosystem Management under WEHAB Priority Areas
(Source: Anonymous 2002 A framework for action on biodiversity and ecosystem management. WEHAB Working Group, WSSD.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biodiversity and Energy</th>
<th>Biodiversity and Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>promotes sustainable sources of woodfuel, biomass as climate changes, need for biodiversity-rich, resilient ecosystems grows</td>
<td>provides raw material for new and traditional medicines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>functioning ecosystems are essential for people’s health</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biodiversity and Agriculture</th>
<th>Biodiversity and Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>provides genetic input for new crop and livestock varieties</td>
<td>Provides clean and abundant water supplies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maintains soils structure and quality</td>
<td>Provides abundant goods for aquatic ecosystems (food, fibre and so on)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ensures pollination of crops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biodiversity and Sustainable Ecosystem Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>genes, species and ecosystems growing under pressure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One of the landmark outcomes of the 1992 Earth Summit was the adoption of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), which has so far been ratified by 189 countries. The CBD focuses on conservation of biodiversity, sustainable use, and fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising out of the use of biodiversity. The CBD is one of the most important international conventions and is implemented widely, in many cases effectively.

Discussions through the CBD Conference of Parties set an international agenda to reduce the rate of loss of biodiversity by 2010. The so-called “2010 targets” are currently under discussion when indicators, measures and options are being identified. A significant element of CBD is the underpinning it provides for sustainable development through biodiversity conservation. It is thus imperative to link CBD both to MDGs and WEHAB principles. The following Table provides and example for the livelihood and biodiversity scenario (Koziell, 2001) that would endorse the above approach.

Table 1 – Livelihood and biodiversity change scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biodiversity maintained or increased</th>
<th>Livelihood Improvement</th>
<th>Livelihood Decline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity maintained or increased</td>
<td>1. Poor and indigenous communities (with marginal agricultural potential) will maintain and enhance biodiversity – either because they have no purchasing power to obtain commercial products and therefore no alternative support for their livelihoods, or because the choose to, for cultural or religious reasons.</td>
<td>2. Exclusionary Pas that yield conservation benefits for the international community, but at a cost to local communities whose access to resources is restricted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity loss</td>
<td>3. Land is converted to industrial agricultural plantations of high-yielding varieties for domestic and export markets. Efficiency gains from economies of scale can reduce product prices, benefiting the urban poor, who spend up to 80 per cent of their income on food.</td>
<td>4. Intensive and large-scale extraction of resources such as timber by distant companies can lead to losses of other biological resources, such as NOFPs, which may be critical sources of income or subsistence food for small-hold agriculturalists.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A DFID, IUCN and EC study on identifying the role of biodiversity in development identified the following 7 principles as the guiding principles for biodiversity in development cooperation (Box 2).

**Box 2 – Guiding Principles for Biodiversity in Development Cooperation**  
(Source: DFID, IUCN and EC 2001 *Biodiversity in Development – Strategic Approach*)

**Principle A:** Adopt and ecosystem and multi-sectoral approach to development cooperation programmes (taking into account the impacts on adjacent and downstream areas).

**Principle B:** Promote fair and equitable sharing of costs and benefits from biodiversity conservation and sustainable use at and between local, national, regional and international levels.

**Principle C:** Encourage full stakeholder participation, including partnerships between civil society, government and private sector.

**Principle D:** Ensure that the institutional arrangements are effective, transparent, accountable, inclusive and responsive.

**Principle E:** Ensure that development cooperation projects and programmes are consistent with the wider policy framework, and/or changes are made for supportive policies and laws.

**Principle F:** Use/provide accurate, appropriate, multi-disciplinary information, which is both accessible to and understood by all stakeholders.

**Principle G:** Development cooperation investments must be sensitive to, and complement, local/national structure, processes and capacities.

The key underlying understanding is the fact that biodiversity is not just a measure of sustainable development or a concern of environmentalists, it is essential for many peoples lives.

Considering the importance of addressing issues of mainstreaming biodiversity into MDGs, IUCN Regional Biodiversity Programme, Asia organized a Asia Regional Workshop on the issue in India between 6-11 April 2003. The recommendations from
the workshop form the basis for this document and the recommendations are detailed as specific activities under each of the MDG as they relate to issues of biodiversity.

2. Some Specific issues and operational options to link CBD Targets and achieving the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)

2.1 Goal on Poverty and Role of Biodiversity

Goal 1 - Eradicate Extreme Poverty and Hunger

*Targets: Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people whose income is less than $1 a day; Halve, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people who suffer from hunger.*

The Millennium Development Goals reflect the multifaceted nature of poverty, with each goal illustrating a different aspect of poverty. The MDGs are an attempt to operationalise the multidimensional approach to poverty, focusing on selected indicators. Since biodiversity impacts issues of poverty, focus on using biodiversity equitably and sustainably are fundamental to strategies and actions to eradicate/reduce poverty and to achieve sustainable development.

The poverty goal of the MDGs addresses issues of extreme poverty, hunger and malnutrition. Extreme poverty and hunger are closely related to the livelihoods and vulnerability of households. The following figures describes links between biodiversity and poverty (World Bank, 2002).
Figure 2 – Biodiversity Links to the Dimensions of Poverty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example of Biodiversity Factors</th>
<th>Dimensions of Poverty</th>
<th>Elements of Well-being</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecological Integrity</td>
<td>Vulnerability to biodiversity loss (incl. Food and nutritional securities)</td>
<td>Sustainable Livelihoods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecosystem Approach to</td>
<td>Access to income and resources</td>
<td>Reducing Vulnerabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to Resources</td>
<td>Life insurance policy for life</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Sharing</td>
<td>Health, Sanitation, Energy, Water and Governance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-situ Conservation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 - Percentage of the poorest living on fragile land
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Links between Biodiversity and Poverty

Poor people, especially those living in marginal environments and in areas with low agricultural productivity, depend directly on genetic, species and ecosystem diversity to support their livelihoods. This dependency is the contribution of biodiversity to food, health, nutrition, household development, income generation and reduced vulnerability.

However, these aspects are suppressed by the interests of powerful groups, inappropriate policies and inefficient governance at local and national levels.

Biodiversity is of local, national and international significance, as it is more likely to attract funding and policy support. Communities depend directly on biodiversity and are more likely to have a commitment to long term investment in managing resources. Removal of policy and institutional impediments, coupled with small local investments, results in sustainable livelihood gains.

Broadly, the following may be considered as ways to integrate issues of MDG 1 and CBD targets of 2010:

- Improving poor peoples’ access to, and tenure of, biodiversity resources;
- Involving the poor in decision and policy making;
- Providing market linkages and sustainable use practices;
- Investing in research and development on how to improve rural incomes; and
- Developing mechanisms to continue or enhance public interest in biodiversity maintaining products and services.
The following box identifies the issues of poverty-environment relationships.

**Box 3 – An improved understanding of poverty-environment relationships**

- **Most environmental degradation is caused by the non-poor:**
  Most environmental degradation is caused by the non-poor as a result of their production and consumption levels, which are much higher than those of the poor, particularly in the highly industrialized countries. Even where poor people degrade the environment, this is often due to the poor being denied their rights to natural resources by wealthier elites and, in many cases, being pushed onto marginal lands more prone to degradation.

- **Population growth does not necessarily lead to increased degradation:**
  While increasing population undoubtedly places greater pressure on productive land and resources, it is not necessarily population per se that causes the damage. The complex of locally specific social, economic, environmental and governance circumstances in which population increases take place – which in turn can be strongly influenced either positively or negatively by external economic and political forces – are the primary driving forces behind poverty-environment interactions. Indeed, conventional economic theory would suggest that as population increases and land becomes scarcer, the land should increase in value and merit greater care and investment. Research in Kenya has documented cases where, even in the face of increasing population pressures, farmers have managed semiarid, degraded, unproductive lands in a manner that has rehabilitated them and made them profitable (Tiffen, Mortimore and Gichuki, 1994). A wider review shows that for population growth to lead to improved soil and water investments, market access and attractive producer prices are essential, as well as social and economic support to prevent the collapse of social structures (Boyd and Slaymaker, 2000). In many areas, these conditions will not be present, and population growth will increase pressure on the environment.

- **The poor are capable of investing in environmental improvement:**
  The conventional wisdom has been that poor people are too impoverished to mobilize resources for enhancing the environment. In some cases this is true. But numerous experiences demonstrate that when incentives are favorable, low-income households and social groups can mobilize enormous resources, particularly labor. There are many well-documented cases of poor people investing their own time and resources in environmental management, and succeeding in maintaining production and profitability while keeping their families and communities from the worst effects of poverty. For example, many urban environmental problems can most effectively be solved when poor communities mobilize themselves or form coalitions with less-poor groups to improve service provision, often with some contribution in cash or kind (Hardoy, Mitlin and Satterthwaite, 2001).

- **Poor people often have the technical knowledge for resource management:**
  It is often assumed that a lack of technical knowledge is a key constraint to poor people’s management of natural resources. Indeed, when poor people move to areas with new ecological conditions, or when something happens to change the balance under which their resource management practices developed, a period of adjustment is required. Evidence is increasingly showing that poor people have an enormous store of indigenous technical knowledge – for example, environmentally sound cultivation practices, efficient water harvesting techniques, and myriad uses for medicinal plants. This knowledge is often undervalued or completely ignored.
Some of the more specific actions and interventions to achieve this MDG include:

- Improve the Human Resources Capital through revision of education policies, training and capacity building that encourages income generation.

- Achieve population control targets by raising awareness, empowerment, education and equity through development and implementation of appropriate policies - thus reducing the pressure on biodiversity.

- Develop income generation opportunities through sustainable livelihoods using Public – Private Sector partnerships with supporting policies and investments at local levels.

- Achieve minimum nutritional standards of people by: promoting cultivation of nutritional crops, drought resistant varieties; setting up community seed banks; provision of access to nutritional food; raising awareness on removing hidden and transient hunger.

- Promote access and benefit sharing activities (i.e. benefits of conservation efforts should be targeted to the poor (stakeholders)) by: supporting activities on ex-situ cultivation; developing policies/legislations on sharing of benefits including the mechanisms for enforcement; raising awareness.

- Promote sustainable use practices and market linkages by developing policies and regulations through cooperatives and other appropriate mechanisms.

- Understand the economic values of biodiversity and empower local communities on achieving economic gains (within the legal ambit) by developing suitable market linkages and strategies.

- Raise the awareness of communities on values of biodiversity by: assessing the economic value of biodiversity; raising awareness; building capacities of communities; identifying and supporting elements of biodiversity that impact livelihoods.

- Promote sustainable agricultural practices by: providing incentives to farmers for following sustainable practices; supporting use of modern and traditional technology blends; supporting effective Public Distribution System.
2.2 Gender and Education: Links to Biodiversity

Goal 2 - Achieve Universal Primary Education
Target: Ensure that, by 2015, children everywhere, boys and girls alike, will be able to complete a full course of primary schooling

Goal 3 - Promote Gender Equality and Empower Women
Target: Eliminate gender disparity in primary and secondary education preferably by 2005 and in all levels of education no later than 2015

The second and third MDGs refer to education and gender. While the education goal is sector specific, gender is a cross-cutting issue. The biodiversity links to these goals are more tenuous than for the other goals.

Attaining the goals of education and gender is possible only when we remove barriers to girls’ education and schooling. Beyond this the MDGs recognise that promoting gender equality and empowering women are effective ways to combat hunger, nutrition and household insecurities.

The CBD also recognises the role of education and gender. Article 13 of the CBD deals with issues of education while gender is seen as a cross-cutting underlay for all conservation, use and benefit sharing activities. Therefore, gender does not find any explicit mention in CBD. However, links to these MDGs (Goals 2 and 3) and CBD are very imminent. Examples of non-availability of fuel, NTFPs and potable water and related mismanagement of time budgets for women are plenty. Experiences from Burkina Faso, Uganda and Zambia provide us figures showing that women and girls can save hundreds of hours a year if walking time to sources of fuels and potable water were reduced to 30 minutes or less. Children and women in central Kenya and India are disproportionately affected by acute respiratory infections, caused by prolonged exposure to indoor air pollution from the combustion of biomass. This burden of disease is adding to the burdens of poverty.
Box 4 – Burden of Water Collection on Women and Children
(Source: IIED 2002 Drawers of Water II. In collaboration with Community Management and Training Services Ltd. (Kenya), Institute of Resource Assessment of the University of Dar es Salaam (Tanzania) and Child Health of Makerere University Medical School (Uganda). London.

A recent water use study in Kenya, Uganda and Tanzania went back to the same 34 sites that were studied in 1972. Water is still primarily collected by women and children and carried on the head, leading to headaches, general fatigue and pains in the chest, neck and waist. The distance walked to collect water was about 580m in rural areas (although for some it can reach over 4km) and 300m in urban areas. This is a slight improvement since 1972 due to more standpipes, wells and private vendors including in rural areas. A return journey to collect water takes about 25 minutes (double the time since 1972) and 3.9 trips per day are made by each household. Thus, an average household spends 1 hour and 40 minutes each day collecting water. This reduces time for cooking and can affect the amount of time children spend at school.

Box 5 – Thailand’s Nutrition Security Compact

During the past 10 years, Thailand has achieved remarkable progress in reducing maternal mortality as well as the incidence of LBW children. The strategy consisted of the following components:

- Eliminate severe, moderate and mild protein-energy malnutrition (PEM)
- Monitor growth among all pre-school children and provide food supplements where needed
- Mainstream nutrition in health, education and agricultural policies
- Retrain and retool existing staff and mobilise community volunteers. Choose one community volunteer for every 10 households and build their capacity
- Encourage breast feeding and organise school lunch programmes
- Promote home gardening, consumption of fruits and vegetables, aquaculture and food safety standards
- Introduce an integrated food safety net with emphasis on household food and nutrition security.

The positive impact of the above Nutrition Security Compact is evident from the decline of maternal mortality from 230 per 100,000 live births in 1992 to 17 in 1996 (Philip et al., 2000). Thailand’s initiative in organising a Community Volunteer Corps for Household Nutrition Security is worth or emulation by other nations.
Some of the more specific actions and interventions to achieve this MDG include:

**Goal 2**

- Integrate conservation and sustainable use into education programmes (formal and non-formal) through the provision of education opportunities that particularly target the communities that are poor and those dependant on natural resources (eg. those living in PAs).
- Provide incentives for primary education by developing appropriate primary education policies that are relevant to local needs.
- Promote policies aiming at compulsory primary education by developing methods to target local communities (like residential schools in rural areas – PAs).
- Mainstream achieving primary education targets by encouraging primary education in rural and urban areas through: policies and interventions; identifying mechanisms to link primary education and rural development; developing mechanisms of incentives like ‘free education’, ‘food for education’.

**Goal 3**

- Develop policies to promote incentives and create an enabling environment, which provides better opportunities for girls to education and removes gender disparities by: providing incentives to encourage girl child education; developing guidelines that address the importance of gender roles and responsibilities in biodiversity conservation.

**2.3 Health Goals and Biodiversity**

**Goal 4 - Reduce Child Mortality**

*Target:* Reduce, by two-thirds, between 1990 and 2015, the under-five mortality rate

**Goal 5 - Improve Maternal Health**

*Target:* Reduce by three-quarters, between 1990 and 2015, the maternal mortality ratio
**Goal 6 - Combat HIV/AIDS, Malaria and other Diseases**

*Targets: Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the spread of HIV/AIDS; Have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the incidence of malaria and other major diseases.*

The commission on the nutrition challenges of the 21st century, in its report titled “Ending malnutrition by 202: An agenda for change in the millennium”, has pointed out that some 30 million infants are born each year in developing countries with intra-uterine growth retardation. This represents about 24% of all new births in these countries (Philp et al., 2000). Low birth weight children are characterised by mental impairments. The MDGs recognise these issues and aim to address issues of reducing mortality rates of both new-borns as well as the maternal mortality. Environmental impacts leading to incidences of diseases like malaria and others are also recognised through MDGs.

Biodiversity provides one of the significant contributions to achieving MDGs 4, 5 and 6. Recent studies led by Harvard Medical School reveal that biodiversity plays a crucial role not only in providing medicines to deal with issues of health and nutrition, but ecosystems play a significant role in dealing with diseases like malaria and others (Chivan 2002). The World Health Organisation estimates that 80% of the world’s population from developing countries relies mainly on traditional medicines for primary health care. Of the 119 chemical compounds derived from 90 plant species, 74% of these are used as drugs. The following Boxes provide some information on the economic value of biodiversity to pharmaceuticals.

**Box 6 – Examples of Values of Natural Products as Pharmaceuticals**

A question that is often asked is whether there is any data on the financial value of natural product-derived drugs for pharmaceutical companies. A recent analysis by Newman and Laird (1999) demonstrated that the percentage of sales (not profits) derived from natural products or related compounds ranged from 50% for Merck to 8% for Johnson and Johnson, with the majority of companies falling between 15 and 30 percent. Companies were not included unless they had at least one drug that sold for more than US $1 billion. It should be emphasized that this was a one-time study using only 1997 sales figures for drugs that sold more than US $1 billion that year, and that almost all of the natural product-derived drugs in this analysis were microbial in origin. It was not for another two years that the first plant-derived drug to break sales figures of US $1 billion arrived, and that was Taxol.
Under the CBD there is no specific Article that deals with issues of health or reducing mortality rates. However, the general principle of conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity is the focus for national action on using biodiversity to reduce the impacts of poor health on humans and ecosystems. Issues of ecosystem disturbance and related health impacts are receiving much attention.

Box 7 – Ecosystem Disturbance, Biodiversity, and Human Infectious Diseases
(Source: Chilvan M.D. (Ed.) 2002)

Increasingly, human activities are disturbing both the structure and functions of ecosystems and altering native biodiversity. Such disturbances reduce the abundance of some organisms, and alter the interactions between organisms and their physical and chemical environments. These disturbances have consequences for human infectious diseases whenever they influence, either directly or indirectly, the organisms involved in the maintenance or transmission of infections. The organisms include: pathogens (the infectious agents); their arthropod or mollusc vectors (organisms that transmit the pathogens to humans); and the other organisms within ecosystems and landscapes that support in various ways the interactions among pathogens, vectors, and reservoirs.

Box 8 – Integrated Pest Management in SE Asia
(Source: EC, DFID and IUCN Biodiversity in Development – Biodiversity Brief 7)

An IPM programme initiated in Indonesia in the 1980s restricted the use of non-selective insecticides, introduced resistant cultivars and trained farmers in pest recognition. This resulted in impressive yield gains, and substantial cost savings; the use of 57 pesticides was banned and US $100 million of annual government subsidies were saved. In response brown planthopper rice damage has been largely avoided by farmers practising IPM, whereas where natural predators have been killed by broad-spectrum insecticides, crop damage has increased. Similar results have been shown in Vietnam, where the introduction of carp into paddy-fields has been effective in controlling the Latin American golden apple snail, which seriously threatened rice production in the mid 1990s. In addition to pest control, the carp now also provide vital food security, supplementing local diets and generating income.
Some of the more specific actions and interventions to achieve this MDG include:

**Goal 4**

- Strengthen primary health care and nutrition through the use of traditional knowledge and traditional medicine by identifying and encouraging the use of medicinal plants and crop plants to achieve household and primary health care *eg* by documenting medicinal plants and use of a community (participatory) biodiversity register.

- Promote conservation of biodiversity through ecosystem approach for watershed management in order to ensure adequate water supply, in terms of quality and quantity, for households by developing appropriate management plans for watersheds and their use.

- Mitigate negative impacts of agricultural and forestry practices that affect child growth by developing suitable management methodologies for addressing issues of ecosystem imbalance and increases in incidence of diseases (*eg.* clearing of forests and vector borne disease).
Goal 5

- Provide alternate sources of energy for household purposes for women by developing policies and mechanisms to replace existing methods of cooking and related activities.

- Promote forestry activities with a focus sustainable harvesting and management of fuel wood and Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFPs) by supporting activities such as Joint Forest Management and development of Community Wood lots etc. (creating equitable access among gender, class and caste to forest resources).

- Promote innovative methods for using biodiversity as medicines by increasing support to research and development and raising awareness on medicinal plants and their usage.

- Promote agronomic practices that can provide better household nutrition by encouraging development of home gardens, Medicinal Plant Conservation Areas, Mixed cropping etc.

Goal 6

- Support ethnobotanical studies on role of plants/microbes in treating diseases by enhancing research and development and promoting the use of traditional medicine in treatment of such ailments.

- Promote option of using biological control agents by supporting research and development.

- Promote integrity of ecosystems by supporting initiatives such as land-use, capacity building, sharing of experiences.

- Promote management of water resources and bodies to achieve reduction in incidence of diseases by identifying and supporting appropriate management strategies.
2.4 Environmental Sustainability and Biodiversity

Goal 7 - Ensure Environmental Sustainability

Targets: Integrate the principles of sustainable development into country policies and programs and reverse the loss of environmental resources; Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water; Have achieved, by 2020, a significant improvement in the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers.

To understand the importance of biodiversity for human development, we need to evaluate the products that can be used and the economic system services that support human development. An accurate valuation of biodiversity needs to consider the direct use values (products) and indirect use values (services) and combine consumptive and non-consumptive use. The following Figure explains some linkages.

Figure 4
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Apart from the direct use values, biodiversity found in ecosystems provide enormous economic gains. The following table illustrates some of these:

**Table 2 – Ecosystem Services and Functions**  
(Source: Constanza et al., 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem Service</th>
<th>Estimated Economic Value (global, US$ ha/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wetland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate regulation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disturbance regulation</td>
<td>4539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water regulation</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water supply</td>
<td>3800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil formation</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion control</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient cycling</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste treatment</td>
<td>4177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollination</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Poor people are affected by natural resource degradation and biodiversity loss much more than the better off because of their limited assets and access. For example, in a study in west Africa, children showing growth abnormalities associated with poor nutrition (stunting) were found most frequently in areas of high soil degradation (GRID/Arendal, 1997).

Current estimates are that approximately 1 billion people are affected by soil erosion and land degradation due to deforestation, overgrazing and agriculture. Water scarcity is a major issue in more than 20 developing countries. Over 2 billion people continue to rely on biomass fuels and traditional technologies for cooking and heating and up to 2 billion people have no access to electricity (UNDP, UNDESA and World Energy Council, 2000). Shortage of wood fuel imposes time and financial costs on poor households, putting a particular burden on those that are short of labor and making it harder for children to attend school.

Improving environmental management to reduce poverty requires local understanding of how environmental conditions relate to poverty, and the ability to identify and set
priorities on alternative policy options and evaluate their effectiveness and impact. Environmental data tend to focus on environmental change without determining poverty effects, while poverty monitoring systems often ignore environmental concerns. Indicators are therefore needed to measure health and vulnerability of the poor and these need to be integrated into national poverty monitoring systems and assessment.

Unfortunately, the Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers (PRSPs) fail to consider such issues and linkages. Greening PRSPs is thus the need of the hour. The “poverty-environment maps” available in few countries can be a good beginning point for such activities (Henninger and Hammond, 2000).

The following specific actions may be considered to for mainstreaming issues of biodiversity in achieving MDG 7, in addition to those explained above:

- Integrate all national action plans (CBD, UNFCCC, CCD and other plans) and their implementation
- Identify monitoring mechanisms to achieve reduction of biodiversity loss (2010 targets) [Using indicators like threatened species]
- Promote ecosystem approach to conservation
- Integrate biodiversity concerns into EIA, SEA and others
- Implement a forestation and reforestation programmes
- Promote appropriate land use policies
- Address issues of risk assessment and risk management with regard to GMO, Invasive Alien Species
- Creation and management of National Biodiversity Conservation Areas, P As and other areas of biodiversity hot spots and suggest appropriate actions to promote environmental sustainability
- Link ecological sustainability with sustainable development (economic, social and environmental well-being)
Achieve environmental sustainability through CDM, buffer zone management, eco-development plans and Joint Forest Management (JFM)

Conjunctive use of water (surface and ground) for environmental sustainability

Support policies and plans for management of effluents to ensure environmental sustainability

Identify policies and interventions, where appropriate, to reduce out migration from rural areas by provision of employment opportunities

2.5 Developing a Global Partnership and Biodiversity

Goal 8 - Develop a Global Partnership for Development

Targets: Develop further an open, rule-based, predictable, nondiscriminatory trading and financial system (includes a commitment to good governance, development and poverty reduction - both nationally and internationally); Address the special needs of the least developed countries (including tariff- and quota-free access for exports, enhanced program of debt relief for and cancellation of official bilateral debt, and more generous ODA for countries committed to poverty reduction; Address the special needs of landlocked countries and small island developing states (through the Program of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States and 22nd General Assembly provisions; Deal comprehensively with the debt problems of developing countries through national and international measures in order to make debt sustainable in the long term; In cooperation with developing countries, develop and implement strategies for decent and productive work for youth; In cooperation with pharmaceutical companies, provide access to affordable essential drugs in developing countries; In cooperation with the private sector, make available the benefits of new technologies, especially information and communications technologies.

Goal 8 of the MDGs focuses on means of achieving the first seven goals. In the spirit of this goal, developed and developing countries need to work in partnership to address sustainability issues.

The Monterrey Summit witnessed renewed commitments by developed countries. Generating public resources and attracting private resources to finance development and conservation are thus needed.
The TRIPS Agreement and Sustainable Development: Role of Biodiversity and the CBD (Walker S 2001)

- As the shift to a 'knowledge economy' continues, the definition of ownership and control of information becomes one of the most important policy issues facing societies. The leading international legal framework for determining rights over information is the World Trade Organization's (WTO) Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (the TRIPS Agreement). This paper discusses the relationship between the TRIPS Agreement, sustainable human development and the public interest. It examines technical and legal aspects of the Agreement, as well as some of its possible impacts on the environment and the enjoyment of human rights. The paper is designed as a reference for policy-makers in governments, international organizations and civil society. It identifies up-coming decision points, as well as some of the options available to policy-makers seeking to maximize the contribution of intellectual property to the goals of sustainable development.

- This shift in the balance between public and private interests takes on a new dimension when viewed in the international context. Developed countries - which are traditionally home to the owners of formal technology - have tended to promote IPRs as beneficial to development. At times, developing countries by contrast - which are generally users, but not producers, of formal technology - have criticized IPRs, arguing that they raise prices and restrict access to the new technologies needed for sustainable human development. Despite bitter disputes between developed and developing countries during the Uruguay Round of trade negotiations, minimum standards for the protection and enforcement of IPRs were inserted on the international trade agenda in the form of the TRIPS Agreement.

- The TRIPS Agreement could also affect the transfer of technology under Multilateral Environmental Agreements. Research by the secretariats of the various MEAs suggests that IPRs present both opportunities and barriers to the transfer of environmentally sustainable technology. While the role of IPRs in
technology transfer should not be overstated, it is important that IP systems complement efforts to protect the environment by encouraging the transfer of environmentally sustainable technology and minimizing the barriers that IPRs might pose to environmental protection. Yet the TRIPS Agreement remains essentially neutral to environmental concerns. Given the immediacy of environmental degradation, promoting the transfer of technology both through the TRIPS Agreement and MEAs is critical.

- In the area of health care and access to essential medicines, implementation of the TRIPS Agreement could help consolidate market control in the hands of a few pharmaceutical companies and increase the price of pharmaceuticals. This may pose serious health risks in cases where essential drugs are needed to respond to diseases such as HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria. According to the TRIPS Agreement, Members may adopt measures to protect public health and nutrition, including through the grant of compulsory licenses to local companies, as a means of promoting the public interest. Members, however, are often faced by unilateral pressure when seeking to operationalise these measures. Greater consideration needs to be given to the public health aspects of IPRs to ensure that the TRIPS Agreement promotes and does not undermine the right to health.

- The grant of patents and other IPRs over biotechnology has been expanding in spite of the ethical, environmental, economic and legal considerations that suggest moderation in this regard. Under Article 27(3)(b), the TRIPS Agreement requires Members to recognize patents over microorganisms and microbiological processes for the production of plants and animals but permits Members to exclude plants and animals from patentability. The Agreement also requires Members to recognize either patent or sui generis protection for plant varieties. Article 27(2) however allows Members to exclude from patentability, innovations in order to protect animal, plant life or health or to avoid serious damage to the environment.

- In relation to the protection of plant varieties, what constitutes sui generis protection for new plant varieties is left undefined by the Agreement. One option is for countries to implement the *International Convention for the Protection of
New Varieties of Plants (UPOV). However, this form of protection has been criticized for focusing too much on the rights of plant breeders, and too little on the rights of those using the seeds - farmers. Members are encouraged to read Articles 27(2) and 27(3)(b) broadly, and to develop national systems that promote not only formal innovation by plant breeders, but also local breeding and the rights of farmers, and protect fundamental human rights, including the rights to food and health.

- Section two continues with a summary of the on-going debate concerning the relationship between the TRIPS Agreement and the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). The CBD sets as its objectives the conservation of biological diversity, the promotion of sustainable use of its components, and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of the use of genetic resources. Implementation of these objectives requires the protection and use of knowledge relevant to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, including knowledge about genetic material, knowledge in technology, and knowledge of indigenous and local communities. The allocation of rights over knowledge in the form of IPRs could therefore determine the extent to which the objectives of the CBD are met.

- A number of international fora are considering issues of relevance to the TRIPS Agreement and the public interest. The TRIPS Council is currently reviewing implementation of the Agreement. At the same time WIPO, the Conference of the Parties for the CBD, the WTO’s Committee on Trade and Environment, UNCTAD, the FAO, the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, and the United Nations’ Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights are also carrying on discussions that have implications for IP systems. Many of these fora have appeared more willing than the TRIPS Council to discuss the public interest implications of the TRIPS Agreement. Policy-makers should be aware of the discussions in these fora so as to ensure policy coordination.

One of the targets mentioned in this MDG deal with accessibility of drugs for poor and the needed reforms to ensure such access is sustainable. The following
example from Thailand provides a good pointer in this direction for future and further actions.

### Box 10  Access to HIV/AIDS drugs in Thailand  
*(Source: S. Walker, 2001)*

The most serious health issue facing the world today is the HIV/AIDS pandemic. In countries such as Zimbabwe and South Africa, as many as one in four people are infected with the virus. While researchers have yet to find a cure for the disease, there are an increasing number of treatments that improve both the life expectancy and the quality of life of people with HIV. However, while these treatments are available in developed countries, people in developing countries are denied access to the drugs as a result of high price levels. In Africa, where 26 million of the estimated 33 million people infected with HIV are living, the prices of monthly treatments are hundreds of times the average salary levels. With production of the AIDS drug ddI in Thailand, for example, patent rights that are licensed exclusively to Bristol Myers Squibb ensure that the company controls imports and sales – giving it leeway to set prices to suit its economic objectives, but not necessarily the health objectives of the Thai people. As a result, the Thai Government has been developing strategies to lower prices of ddI as well as other treatments. For example, the Government considered granting a compulsory license of ddI technology as a means of ensuring that there would be at least one affordable, low-tech double therapy combination. The Government’s proposed action was consistent with the compulsory licensing provisions under the TRIPS Agreement. The US Government, however, responded by threatening trade sanctions on key exports if the Thai Government did not change its IP laws. In particular, the US pushed for amendments to the Thai patent law that excluded the grand of compulsory licenses over pharmaceuticals as well as to abolish the Pharmaceutical Review Board, the Government body charged with surveying price levels of pharmaceuticals. The Thai Parliament passed amendments in October 1998 abolishing the Pharmaceutical Review Board. However, the Director General of the Department of Intellectual Property maintains the power to override a patent and issue a compulsory license where the patent is deemed not to be locally “worked” or if the price is considered unreasonably high.

*(Source: Simon Walker, 2001)*

### 2.5.1  Sustainable Financing

The 1992 Earth Summit clearly established the objective of sustainable development. Ten years later the objective remains the goal of world community as stated through the Millennium Declaration and the MDGs. Achieving these goals require considerable resources and creative use of both existing and new resources. Preliminary estimates are that it will take unto US$ 40 to 60 billion per year to reach the MDGs (Anonymous, 2002).
In addition to the increases in the ODA, as promised at Monterrey, countries need to identify their own internal resources and move forward to forging new and better partnerships to mobilize more financial resources. Type 2 partnerships as discussed at WSSD might be a way forward in addition to finding public-private partnerships.

Translating some of these ideas into action need the following:

- Appropriate actions by developing countries can generate or free up substantial additional resources, either by attracting new financing from domestic and international private sector sources or by reducing waste and inefficiency in the use of public sector resources. Such resource mobilization is key for sustainably financing development.

- Creating a positive policy environment is fundamental to achieving sustainable development. Without an appropriate policy framework, private sector resources will not be forthcoming, and public sector resources will continue to be used sub-optimally. Moreover, and perhaps as important, a positive policy environment can help channel economic activities (whether undertaken by the private or public sectors) away from environmentally harmful activities and towards more sustainable ones.

- Special attention should be provided to the needs of the poor. Any policy reform or other effort must clearly take considerable care to be pro-poor. The local communities must be made to understand that they are not just passive recipients; there is growing evidence that they can play an important, pro-active role in development processes and policies. A growing number of microfinance and sustainable livelihood initiatives are demonstrating how once-marginal communities are achieving independence through economic empowerment.

- One size does not fit all. There is substantial variation in the needs, opportunities, and constraints facing individual developing countries. Even within countries, there is substantial variation across regions or sectors. This should be understood and issues mainstreamed.
Box 11: The triple bottom line *(Source: Financing for sustainable development, 2002)*

Many corporate leaders now recognize that social development, environment, and growth are not always in conflict. For a variety of reasons reducing costs, creating new market development opportunities, protecting and gaining consumers, and managing risks companies are adopting sustainable development as a management framework to build long-term value in line with shareholders' and society's expectations. Commitment to corporate social responsibility moves companies to a "triple bottom line" of financial strength, social justice, and environmental sustainability. Public information and comparative benchmarking influence consumers, investors, public interest groups, and governments to put pressure on company performance to meet environmental and social standards.

Box 12: Financial impact of energy mispricing in India *(Source: Financing for sustainable development, 2002)*

Power subsidies are imposing a growing and unsustainable financial burden in India. In 1992-93, total financial losses in the power sector came to US$1.7 billion. By 2001, low tariffs (which encourage high and wasteful use), high technical losses, and widespread non-payment, combined to increase state utility losses to more than US$5 billion per year. If current trends continue, state utility financial losses will reach US$10 billion per year in another three years. To put this magnitude of losses into perspective, US$5 billion is half of what all the state governments in India combined are spending on all levels of education every year. It is double what they are collectively spending on health, and three times what they are spending on water supply. If power sector financial losses were reduced by only one third, the savings in a single year would be sufficient to fill every teacher vacancy in the country and provide every school with running water and toilet facilities.
Some specific activities that can be considered to achieve this MDG include:

- Encourage regional mechanisms and cooperation on addressing issues of open-trading systems
- Provide inputs into the decision making process under WTO to deal with issues of rule based and non-discriminatory trading, including addressing the special needs of LDCs, SIDS and landlocked countries
- Increase the awareness and understanding on issues of debt for nature swaps and structural adjustment policies and enhance capacities of countries in negotiating such agreements
- Develop national policies on ensuring employment to youth that is based on skill development and supporting environmental management (e.g. Ecotourism)
- Encourage partnerships between private – public sectors to invest in research and development of pharmaceuticals besides encouraging incentives for private sector to adopt differentiated pricing policies aimed at provision of cheaper medicines to LDS and others.
- Encourage private sector collaboration on Information and Communication technologies (ICTs) with an aim to achieving better environmental governance and environmental sustainability with an aim to achieving better environmental governance and environmental sustainability

3. Climate Change, Biodiversity and Millennium Development Goals

As the Johannesburg Summit (WSSD) states, “...the adverse effects of climate change are already evident, natural disasters are more frequent and more devastating and developing countries are more vulnerable....”. While climate change will have global impacts, poor countries and poor people will be most vulnerable because of their high degree of dependence on natural resources that are directly impacted by climate change, their limited capacities – human, institutional, and financial – to cope, in some cases, their geographical location.
Climate change threatens to undo decades of development and poverty-reduction efforts. Climate change is also expected to contribute to chronic impacts, including severe water and heat stresses that have profound impacts on the livelihoods and health of the poor, including their assets and quality of life. This enhanced vulnerability of the poor limits the effectiveness of development interventions and raises the questions of how to most effectively integrate climate change concerns into development planning. Thus it is critical to address the issues of mainstreaming issues of climate change, biodiversity and sustainable development, including into achieving MDGs and WEHAB. The inter-agency paper, ‘poverty and climate change – reducing the vulnerability of the poor’ that was developed for UNFCCC COP 8 can provide more background information and details (Anonymous, 2002).

Table 3: Potential Impacts of Climate Change on the Millennium Development Goals (Anonymous, 2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millennium Development Goal</th>
<th>Examples of links with Climate Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger (Goal 1)</td>
<td>Direct impacts;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Climate Change may be reduced poor people’s livelihood assets, for example health, access to water, homes and infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Climate change may alter the path and rate of economic growth due to change in natural systems and resources, infrastructure and labor productivity. A reduction in economic growth directly impacts poverty through reduced income opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Climate change may alter regional food security. In particular in Africa, food security is expected to worsen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health related goals:</td>
<td>Direct Impacts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Combat major diseases</td>
<td>• Direct effects of climate change may include increase heat-related mortality and illness associated with heat waves (which may be balanced by less winter cold related deaths in some countries)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reduce infant mortality</td>
<td>• Climate change may increase the prevalence of some vector borne disease (e.g. malaria to dengue fever), and vulnerability to water, food or person to person borne diseases (e.g. cholera and dysentery).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Improve maternal health</td>
<td>• Climate and pregnant women are particularly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
susceptible to vector and water borne diseases. Anaemia – resulting from malaria – is responsible for a quarter of maternal mortality.

- Climate Change may also result in declining quantity and quality of drinking water, which is a prerequisite for good health and exacerbate malnutrition – an important source of ill health of among children – by reducing natural resource productivity and threatening food security, particularly in sub Saharan Africa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Achieve universal primary education (Goal 2)</th>
<th>Indirect impacts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Links to climate change are less direst but loss of livelihood assets (natural, health, financial and physical capital) may reduce opportunities for full time education in numerous ways. Natural disasters and drought reduce children’s available time (which may be diverted to household tasks) while displacement and migration can reduce access to education opportunities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Promote gender equality and empower women (Goal 3)</th>
<th>Indirect impacts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Climate Change may exacerbate current gender inequalities. Depletion of natural resource and decreasing agricultural productivity may place additional burdens on women’s health, and reduce time available to participate in decision-making processes and income generation activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Climate related disasters have been found to impact more severely female- headed households particularly where they have fewer assets to start with.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ensure environmental sustainability (Goal 7)</th>
<th>Direct impacts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Climate Change may alter the quality and productivity of natural resources and ecosystems, some of which may be irreversibly damaged, and these changes may also decrease biological diversity and compound existing environmental degradation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Partnerships (goal 8)</th>
<th>Direct impact:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Global climate change is a global issue and responses require global cooperation, especially to help developing countries adapt to adverse impacts of climate change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Modeling based on IPCC scenarios (2001) suggest that temperature rise by 2010 could lead to significant increase in potential breeding grounds for malaria in parts of Brazil, Southern Africa and the horn of Africa. In a few areas – such as parts of Namibia and the West African Sahel - malaria risk may fall due to excessive heat. In Africa, cities that currently are not risk of malaria because of their high altitudes, such as Nairobi and Harare, may be newly at risk if the range in which the mosquito can line and breed increases. Such projections are not universally accepted and vulnerability of the poor to increase risks will depend on the capacity of health authorities and individuals to take preventive action. However, increased prevalence of climate and disaster related diseases would certainly present new stresses for health systems already over-stretched by HIV/AIDS risks. Furthermore, a number of studies suggest strong casual links – when other factors have been taken into account – between malaria incident and economic growth. For instance, Gallup and Sachs (2000) found that economic growth in counties with intensive malaria was 1.3 percent less per person per year between 1965 and 1990 than those without malaria and that a 10 percent reduction in malaria is associated with a 0.3 percent increase in economic growth.

**Table 4 Impacts of Climate Change, Vulnerability and Adaptive Capacity by Region** (Source: Anonymous, 2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Likely regional impacts of climate change</th>
<th>Vulnerability, adaptive capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Africa | • Increase in droughts, floods and other extreme events will add to stress on water resources, food security, human health and infrastructure, thus constraining development.  
• Changes in rainfall and intensified land use would exacerbate the desertification process (particularly in the Western Sahel, Northern and Southern Africa).  
• Sea level rise would affect coastal settlements, flooding and coastal erosion, especially along the East –Southern Africa coast.  
• Major rivers are highly sensitive to ‘climate variation; decrease in run-off and water availability affecting agriculture and hydropower systems could increase cross-boundary tensions.  
• Increase in frequency of some extreme events in some places. | • Adaptive capacity is low due to low GDP per capita, widespread poverty) the number of poor grew over the 1990s), inequitable land distribution, and low education levels. There is also an absence of safety nets, particularly after harvest failures.  
• More than one quarter of the population lives within 100km of the coast and most of Africa’s largest cities are along coasts vulnerable to sea level rise, coastal erosion and extreme events.  
• Individual coping strategies for desertification are already strained, leading to deepening poverty. Dependence on rain-fed agriculture is high.  
• Adaptive capacity is likely to be greatest in counties with civil order, political openness and sound economic management. |
<p>| Asia   | • Extreme events have increased in | • Adaptive capacity varies between countries |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Likely regional impacts of climate change</th>
<th>Vulnerability, adaptive capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>temperate Asia</td>
<td>including floods, droughts, forest fires and tropical cyclones.</td>
<td>depending on social structure, culture, economic capacity and level of environmental degradation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Thermal and water stress, flood and drought, sea level rise and tropical cyclones would diminish food</td>
<td>• As a region, poverty in both rural and urban areas has decreased in Asia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>security in countries of arid, tropical and temperate Asia; agriculture would expand and increase in</td>
<td>• Capacity in increasing in some parts of Asia (for example, the success of early warning systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>productivity in northern areas.</td>
<td>for extreme weather events in Bangladesh), but is still restrained due to poor resource bases,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduced soil moisture in the summer may increase land degradation and desertification.</td>
<td>inequalities in income, weak institutions and limited technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sea level rise and increase in intensity of tropical cyclones would displace tens of millions of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>people in low-lying coastal areas of temperate and tropical Asia.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>• Loss and retreat of glaciers would adversely impact runoff and water supply in areas where snowmelt</td>
<td>Some social indicators have improved over the 1990s, including adult literacy, life expectancy,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>is an important water resource.</td>
<td>access to safe drinking water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Floods and droughs would increase in frequency and lead to poorer water quality in some areas.</td>
<td>Other factors such as infant mortality, low secondary school enrolment and high income inequality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increase in the intensity of tropical cyclones would alter the risks to life, property and ecosystems</td>
<td>contribute to limited adaptive capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>from heavy rain, flooding, storm surges and wind damages.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Coastal human settlement, productive activities, infrastructure and mangrove ecosystems would be</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>negatively affected by sea level rise.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Island States</td>
<td>• The projected sea level rise of 5 mm yr⁻¹ for the next hundreds years would cause enhanced soil</td>
<td>Adaptive capacity of human systems is generally low in small island states, and vulnerability high;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>erosion, loss of land, poverty, dislocation of people, increased risk from storm surges, reduced</td>
<td>small island states are likely to be among the countries most seriously impacted by climate change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>resilience of coastal ecosystems, saltwater intrusion into freshwater resources, and high resource</td>
<td>• Island s with very limited water supplies are highly vulnerable to the impacts of climate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>costs to respond to and adapt to changes.</td>
<td>change on the water balance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Coral reefs would be negatively affected by bleaching and by reduced calcification rates due to</td>
<td>• Declines in coastal ecosystems would negatively impact reef fish and threaten reef fisheries,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>higher carbon dioxide levels; mangrove, sea grass bed and other coastal ecosystems and the associated</td>
<td>those who earn their livelihoods from reef fisheries and those who rely on the fisheries as a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>biodiversity would be adversely affected by rising temperature and accelerated sea level rise.</td>
<td>significant food source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Limited arable land and extensive soil salinization make agriculture on small islands, both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for domestic food production and cash crop exports, highly vulnerable to climate change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Tourism, an important source of income and foreign exchange for many islands, would face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>severe disruption from climate change and sea level rise.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Poverty and Climate Change, 2002)
## Figure 5 Links between Climate Change and MDGs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Links between the Millennium Development Goals and Climate Change</th>
<th>Increasing Vulnerability of the Poor</th>
<th>Impacts on Millennium Development Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Changes in mean climate, variability extreme events and sea level rise</td>
<td>LESS SECURE LIVELIHOODS (due to deplete social, financial, physical, natural resource and human assets and increased displacement of people)</td>
<td>Goal 1: Eradicating extreme poverty and hunger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in precipitation, run-off and variability leads to greater water stress</td>
<td>INCREASED HEALTH RISKS (Malaria, Dengue, Cholera, Dysentry, Malnutrition, Exposure)</td>
<td>Goal 2: Achieve Universal Primary Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased incident or intensity of climate related disasters leads to damage to Infrastructure</td>
<td>CONTRAINED ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITIES (Short and long term impacts of droughts and extreme events)</td>
<td>Goal 3: Promote Gender Equality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, water and vegetation changes contribute to increased prevalence of diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goal 4: Reduce Child Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goal 5: Improve Maternal Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goal 6: Combat Major Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goal 7: Ensure environmental sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goal 8: Promote Global Partnership</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Some specific recommendations on addressing issues of climate change and MDGs

General considerations:

- Impacts will be different for different agro-ecological and physiographic regions.
- Vulnerability will be different depending on socio-economic and cultural regimes.
- Concerns emanating from climate variability need to be recognized as different from those of climate change.
- Climate variability will adversely impact food security as well as livelihoods.
Hazard mapping for each possible impact of Climate Change and Climate Variability, Glacier Lake Outburst Floods, Flash floods, droughts, Sea Level Rise etc be taken up urgently.

Early warning systems for each of the hazards be developed, warnings effectively disseminated to vulnerable communities

Adaptive capacities of local communities to current and future adverse situations be enhanced

Media be assisted with appropriate materials for effective dissemination of information to policy makers, and to people, in general, on probable adverse impacts of climate change

Develop benchmarks for future monitoring of impact of climate change and biodiversity as well as for overall sustainable development process.

Impact of Climate Change on MDG 1

Adverse effects of climate change and climate variability viz: flood, drought, sea level rise, rainfall variability and GLOF are of direct relevance to MDG1.

Floods cause loss of agricultural productivity, and also livelihoods, leading to out migration.

Sea Level Rise causes loss of coastal land, saline water intrusion; impact on fisheries and local livelihoods are significant.

Impact of Climate Change on MDG 2

Renewable sources of energy offer significant ways of reducing burdens on women as well as provide positive incentives for time budgeting of girl child and women.

Provision / identification of sustainable and safe drinking water sources would ensure children having more time for schooling.
Impact of Climate Change on MDG 3

- Women and children are more vulnerable to disasters resulting from effects of climate change. Therefore it is important to pay attention to needs of women and children while designing disaster mitigation plans.
- Provision of alternate livelihood sources is critical for ensuring gender equalities. These can be through training on aspects like organic farming, value-added produce development etc.
- Access to micro-finance specially for those who are vulnerable to impacts of Climate Change is required as a risk management option at local level.

Impacts of Climate Change on MDG 4 and 5

- Provision of safe drinking water is important to prevent child mortality in rural areas. Climate variabilities like floods often impede such sources.
- Introduction of special child/mother clinics during flood and drought can support better health care.

Impact of Climate Change on MDG 6

- Climate change impacts incidence of vector borne diseases. Base line data and studies on such diseases are needed for tropical countries.
- Steps to curb vector/water borne diseases (e.g: tick borne encephalitis) with rise in temperatures should be better tackled through awareness raising, public participation and setting minimum standards of hygiene.

Impact of Climate Change on MDG 7

- Climate change impacts due to ecosystem disturbance should be addressed using an ecosystem approach
- Environmental management plans should consider issues of impacts of climate change on ecosystems – their services and products.
- Policies to reduce use of fossil fuels be encouraged
- Forest conservation policies must address issues of maintaining appropriate forest cover
- Agroforestry should be encouraged
- Afforestation and reforestation activities should be responsive to adaptation issues

4. Conclusions

Achieving synergies between implementation of CBD and MDGs is a key issue to achieve sustainable development that makes a meaning to local people. Agencies like Secretaries to Conventions, UNDP, UNEP and others have started thinking about bringing synergies to action. Specific and joint work programmes should be developed as a part of Joint Liaison Group and Ad Hoc Technical Expert Group. A specific inter-agency working group with a mandate to address synergies in Action must be established and linkages to on-going ground work be developed. Encouraging Parties to submit innovative project ideas under the GEF’s operational programme 12 dealing with ecosystem approach should be explored. Countries should be encouraged to specifically design programmes on synergies as a part of their national strategy and action plan.

At National level, the agencies coordinating implementation of the Conventions and Processes must establish a joint working group involving stakeholders and focal points of the Convention to discuss options and actions. The Capacity Development Initiative (CDI) must address the issue of synergies specifically and all National Capacity Self Assessment (NCSA) activities must focus on this at national level. Agencies mandated to implement the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and WSSD outcomes (WEHAB) must design processes on synergies soon, so that action of implementation can be inclusive.

At the local level, synergies mean livelihoods translating the experiences, scaling-up activities and influencing larger policy through action form the priorities. Initiatives like the Equator Initiative, Small Grants Programme of GEF have unique opportunities to identity such action and support replication and if need be improvement.
The key environmental agreements also contain many similar requirements for action, research, reporting and other necessary activities agreed by their signatories.

- Approaches to goals – The instruments adopt similar approaches to achieve their goals. They recognise needs for national action guided by international experiences. All of them recognise the need for capacity building, awareness rising as a pre-condition to their successes. All of them also identify need for cooperation.

- Approaches to activities: All of these instruments promote activities of research, assessments, information exchange, and training, development of strategies and action plans and inventories. However, the decisions of design and detail are left open for interpretation by individual governments.

It is truism that we work in a world in which governments work primarily in a sector-based mode to develop and implement their policies and programmes. We need to bring in some changes to this scenario. Suggestion or recommendation for this include the following:

- Enhancing the institutional outlook
- Building capacities – both at personal and institutional levels
- Modifying National Planning processes
- Strengthening information base.

**Institutional Outlook**

With the overall framework of policymaking, planning and implementation of Rio Conventions and other Sustainable Development related policies; there are several core activities, which are particularly amenable to the issue of institutional synergies. These are:

- Awareness raising
- Education
- Reporting
- Data gathering and inventories
- Public participation
- Research and Training

To achieve these synergies it may be useful to consider the following options:

a) A crosscutting national committee to bring together key players -
   This is not new for several countries National Planning Commission is a committee that brings together such players to decide on plans and budgets. Creation of a National Committee on Sustainable Development will be an option.

b) Separate institutions with a coordinating mechanism -
   Several countries work on this principle at least in sectors like finance, banking and health. Similar model for environment may be an option.

c) A single institution responsible for all instruments
   Many countries have Ministries of Environment and Natural Resources, which deal with several environment issues, but linkages within them are often weak.

At local level, the options can be:
   a) Creation of a coordinating committee representing all sectors

   b) Making locally elected democratic institutions responsible for environment and development

   c) Using groups and institutions like CBOs, Churches and Women’s groups.

**Building Capacities**

Capacity building and strengthening capacities already existing are urgently needed. Many countries are overtaxed by the competing demands and obligatory activities besides reporting requirements and monitoring.
Given this, it is important for countries to enhance their capacities. The GEF’s Capacity Development Initiative is a welcome option but falls short of addressing or supporting actual activities. Also, the implementation of CDI is a question due to the approval of only phase I of CDI by the GEF council where support is provided for National Capacity Self Assessments (NCSA) without clear emphasis on how countries can implement outcomes of NCSA. This makes CDI’s use and effectiveness limited.

The general capacity needs to address synergistic activities are the capacities to:

- Inventories, monitoring and systematic observations
- Planning, policy development and reform of legal frameworks
- Impact assessment and research
- Information, knowledge and data management
- Reporting and monitoring
- Education, Training and Public awareness

Capacity building on these can be categorized to human resources, infrastructure development, coordination and cooperation.

**Modifying National Planning Processes**

Plans to implement the Convention can foster synergies if they meet the following conditions.

Plans should be consistent with goals of national development

1) Plans should identify the roles of the Conventions and other commitments at national, regional and global levels.

2) Plans should identify areas where overlaps and conflicts can occur and suggest means of turning them into opportunities of synergies.

Given this there are three possibilities to address national planning processes that can be responsive.
a) Develop separate plans for each agreement -
Unfortunately this is the one, which receives both financial and political support, which is neither effective nor suppressive of synergies.
b) Develop a new Umbrella Plan incorporating elements of all agreements -
This is a good choice provided the institutional mechanism for planning and implementation are in place.
c) Develop a mechanism to integrate planning associated with the instruments into existing national plans and planning frameworks – This is the best option in current situation but outlook and capacities to do so are weak.

**Strengthening Information Base**

Strong information systems, efficient networks and intelligent synthesis of these into knowledge equip a country to regularly assess status, progress and plan for sustainable development. Implementation of all the agreements needs a good information base. Creation of this base with an implicit design for planning and monitoring is thus needed.

Countries and Convention should also understand that though information is power, on its own it could achieve little. Integrated planning to use the information, data and analysis for reporting and monitoring synergies at action level is the key to success.
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